|--|

## UW Oshkosh Graduate Student Research Proposal Evaluation Form

Rate each criterion on a scale of 1-7 as follows. Criteria followed by \*\* are weighted more heavily in the final consideration for awards.

- 1. Inadequate. Criterion not met.
- 2. Weak. Element has major deficiencies, minimal potential to achieve criterion.
- 3. Fair. Meets criterion but has deficiencies that should be addressed.
- 4. Good. Criterion met, with minor deficiencies.
- 5. Very Good. Criterion fully met.
- 6. Excellent. Criterion met or exceeded, with very strong potential

7. Outstanding. Criterion greatly exceeded; one of best ever.	
The Galetananing. Criterion ground exceeded, one of poet even	Points
	assigned
1. Intellectual or Creative Merit**	•
The proposal should clearly explain the project's intellectual or creative merit, how the project is	
grounded in the theory and/or literature of the discipline, and the	
significance/importance/contribution of the project to the discipline	
2. Methodology/design**	
The proposal should clearly explain the project's research design; e.g. the hypotheses to be	
tested, questions to be explored, or creative activity to be undertaken, and the processes that	
will be used. This description should also spell out the roles of both the graduate student and	
mentors in the project.	
3. Motivation	
The proposal should describe why this project is appropriate for the student i.e. how it ties into	
the student's own learning, career interests and projected career path.	
4. Feasibility**	
The proposal should (1) show that the student has, or can acquire, the necessary skills and	
knowledge for the project, (2) ensure a faculty mentor will provide appropriate supervision and	
training, (3) show that required equipment, materials, software and library resources are	
available or accessible, and (4) demonstrate that other special arrangements (if necessary)	
have been made.	
5. Time Line	
The proposal should spell out the time line over which the project be carried out, including an	
estimate of how long each methodological step will take.	
6. Project Outcomes	
The proposal should specify the project's expected outcomes (e.g., papers, artistic or creative	
works, models, proposals for extramural funding, demonstrations, exhibitions and manuals).	
Outcomes should be appropriate to the project and the discipline and be achievable by the	
student. 7. Proposal Quality and Clarity**	
The proposal should avoid jargon, define terms and concepts (where appropriate), and be	
proofread for organization, grammar, and clarity.	
produced for organization, graininar, and clarity.	
Hallada Farkadan Oharkakishaan anglar	
Holistic Evaluation. Check whichever applies:	
Strongly recommended for support	
Recommended for support if funds available	
Not recommended for support	
NOTES: To provide the student with feedback, please comment on the proposal's strength	s as well
as its major deficiencies:	